arXiv:1506.05429v1 [hep-th] 17 Jun 2015

BRST-invariant Pauli–Villars regularization of QCD

Sophia S. Chabysheva and John R. Hiller
Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Minnesota-Duluth
Duluth, Minnesota 55812

(Dated: June 18, 2015)

We extend the QCD Lagrangian to include Pauli-Villars (PV) gluons, quarks, and ghosts in such a way as to retain BRST invariance in an arbitrary covariant gauge. The extended Lagrangian can provide a starting point for nonperturbative calculations in QCD, particularly with light-front techniques, and the methods used to construct it may be useful for perturbative calculations in theories where dimensional regularization is not viable. The regularization is arranged by having all interaction terms in the Lagrangian be couplings between null fields, specific combinations of positive and negative-metric PV fields. The construction is done in steps, beginning with a gauge-invariant Lagrangian with massless PV gluons and degenerate-mass PV quarks. Auxiliary scalars are introduced to give mass to the PV gluons, and break the mass degeneracy of the PV quarks, following a method due to Stueckelberg. Gauge fixing terms for the gluon fields are a part of this construction. The ghost terms are then obtained and shown to provide the BRST invariance. A lack of dependence on the gauge parameter can be checked by the calculation of physical quantities for a range of values of the parameter.

The principal goal of hadronic physics is the nonperturbative solution of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), in order to compute properties of hadrons as bound states of quarks and gluons. Lattice gauge theory [1] is one approach for doing this; it has met with considerable success, but is limited by its reliance on a Euclidean framework and by other difficulties, such as fermion doubling and a large pion mass. Dyson–Schwinger methods [2] are also useful but also Euclidean. The truncated conformal space approach [3] shows promise but is in its infancy. An alternative, which retains a Minkowski framework and the intuitive notion of wave functions, is the light-front Hamiltonian approach [4, 5]. Light-front methods have been successfully applied to many gauge field theories, including quantum electrodynamics [6–8], QCD in two dimensions [9], and supersymmetric Yang–Mills theories [10]; however, with respect to four-dimensional QCD, this approach has always lacked a consistent regularization that can be used nonperturbatively.

The standard regularization used for non-Abelian theories such as QCD is dimensional regularization [11]. Unfortunately, this method is inherently perturbative, relying as it does on the analysis of the singularity structure of Feynman diagrams and the modification of the associated integrations. All of this is buried deep within a nonperturbative calculation, where such separations and modifications are difficult if not impossible to perform.

A regularization that can be introduced at the Lagrangian or Hamiltonian level is much to be preferred, and there has been just such an approach for Abelian theories, developed in the context of light-front Hamiltonian methods [6, 12, 13].[1] Massive Pauli–Villars (PV) fields are introduced to the Lagrangian with couplings arranged to provide the cancellations necessary for regularization via subtractions of negative-metric PV contributions, and the Hamiltonian derived in some fixed gauge.

The cancellations are obtained by constructing all interactions from combinations of positive and negative-metric fields that are null in the following sense. Let the (light-front) mode expansions for the gluon and quark fields be written as

$$A_{ak\mu}(x)=\int\frac{d\underline{q}}{\sqrt{16\pi^3}}\sum_\lambda e_\mu^{(\lambda)}\left[a_{ak\lambda}(\underline{q})e^{-iq\cdot x}+a_{ak\lambda}^\dagger(\underline{q})e^{iq\cdot x}\right], \tag{1}$$

$$\psi_i(x)=\int\frac{d\underline{q}}{\sqrt{16\pi^3q^+}}\sum_{as}\left[u_{ais}(\underline{q})b_{ais}(\underline{q})e^{-iq\cdot x}+v_{ais}(\underline{q})d_{ais}^\dagger(\underline{q})e^{iq\cdot x}\right], \tag{2}$$

with $d\underline{k}=dk^+d^2k_\perp$ the light-front volume element [4], $e_\mu^{(\lambda)}$ a set of polarization vectors, $a_{ak\lambda}^\dagger$ the gluon creation operator with color index a, PV index k, and polarization λ, and $b_{ais}^\dagger$ the quark creation operator with color index a, PV index i, and spin s. The quark flavor index is suppressed, as it will be throughout. The physical fields are denoted by a PV index of zero. The (anti)commutation relations for the creation operators are

$$[a_{ak\lambda}(\underline{q}),a_{bl\lambda'}^\dagger(\underline{q}')]=r_k\epsilon^\lambda\delta_{ab}\delta_{kl}\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\delta(\underline{q}-\underline{q}'), \tag{3}$$

$$\{b_{ais}(\underline{q}),b_{bjs'}^\dagger(\underline{q}')\}=s_i\delta_{ab}\delta_{ij}\delta_{ss'}\delta(\underline{q}-\underline{q}'). \tag{4}$$

---

[1] There has also been work on construction of a light-front regularization that is perturbatively equivalent to covariant regularization [14].

Here $r_k = \pm 1$ and $s_i = \pm 1$ are metric signatures for the gluon and quark fields with PV index k and i, respectively. In addition, the gluon field has a polarization dependent metric signature specified by $\epsilon^\lambda \equiv (-1,1,1,1)$. Null fields are then constructed as

$$A_a^\mu \equiv \sum_k \xi_k A_{ak}^\mu, \;\; \psi \equiv \sum_i \beta_i \psi_i, \tag{5}$$

where the ξ_k and β_k are coupling coefficients constrained to satisfy

$$\sum_k r_k \xi_k^2 = 0, \;\; \sum_i s_i \beta_i^2 = 0. \tag{6}$$

Obviously, at least one PV gluon and one PV quark must have a negative metric. Typically one would take $r_k = (-1)^k$ and $s_i = (-1)^i$.

Interaction terms, such as $g\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu T_a A_{a\mu}\psi$, are then built from null combinations, with $r_0 = s_0 = \xi_0 = \beta_0 = 1$ for the physical field. Here T_a is a matrix representing the Lie algebra, and repeated color indices are summed. Any loop must then contain the sum $\sum_{ik} s_i \beta_i \beta_i' r_k \xi_k \xi_k'$, with the primed coefficients coming from the second vertex, which may or may not be the same as the first. The metric signatures come from contraction of the creation and annihilation operators associated with the internal lines of the loop. If the two vertices are the same, the null constraints immediately provide two subtractions; if not the same, the different null combinations from the different vertices must be mutually null, that is $\sum_i s_i \beta_i \beta_i' = 0$ and $\sum_k r_k \xi_k \xi_k' = 0$, to again provide two subtractions.

This approach has been used to study QED in an arbitrary covariant gauge, including study of the dependence of physical quantities on the gauge parameter [8]. The couplings induce currents that change the PV index of the field, including mixing with the physical field, which breaks gauge invariance; however, in the limit that the PV fields are removed, gauge invariance is restored.

The extension to non-Abelian theories is, of course, problematic. Unlike Abelian theories, where a massive vector boson is known to be renormalizable [15], the proof of renormalizability for Yang–Mills theories is best approached in terms of BRST invariance [16], which would appear to require an underlying gauge invariance, which in turn requires massless vector bosons. The well-known exception is the use of spontaneous symmetry breaking to provide mass to gauge bosons without destroying gauge invariance at the Lagrangian level, which is a hint as to how one might proceed. Another difficulty is that, even for massless PV gluons and mass-degenerate PV quarks, the null couplings that mix fields do break gauge invariance.

We have resolved these difficulties. The giving of mass to the PV gluons and the lifting of the PV-quark masses is accomplished by a non-Abelian generalization of Stueckelberg's mechanism [17, 18], which associates an auxiliary real scalar with each gluon field, simultaneously giving mass to the gluon and the scalar while also fixing the gauge. The breaking of gauge invariance by the field-mixing interactions is eliminated by generalizing the definition of the gauge transformation to also include field mixing; the original gauge transformation is recovered in the limit that the PV fields are removed, by taking their masses to infinity. The BRST invariance is recovered for finite PV masses by inclusion of the appropriate Faddeev–Popov [19] ghost terms required by the gauge-fixing terms.

In case this sounds too good to be true, we must point out that there are drawbacks to our approach. One is the presence of nonlocal interactions for the ghost fields; this is made necessary by the mechanism used to generate PV gluon masses. The other drawback is a proliferation of PV fields, which will be a large computational burden. There are several constraints that the PV couplings must satisfy, and each constraint requires the addition of one or two PV fields. The formulation given here can require as many as four PV gluons, three PV quarks, five PV adjoint real scalars, and four PV ghosts and anti-ghosts. This is for each color and flavor, and therefore is a very large number.

Oddly enough, the Higgs mechanism is not used. We found that the requirement of null couplings, to provide the regularization, causes two difficulties. One is in the Higgs sector itself, where the null ϕ^4 interaction does not lead to a well-defined minimum to drive the breaking of the symmetry. The other is that gauge-invariant null couplings of the PV Higgs to the gluons requires that any symmetry breaking leave as massless only a null combination of gluon fields, rather than produce a massless physical gluon and massive PV gluons.

In what follows, we describe the construction in stages. The first is a Lagrangian for massless gluons and mass-degenerate quarks that is invariant with respect to a generalized gauge transformation. Next, we introduce the auxiliary scalars and add terms that give mass to the PV gluons and fix the gauge. This is followed by specification of a term that lifts the mass degeneracy of the PV quarks. Finally, we determine the Faddeev–Popov ghost term and state the BRST transformations of the fields. Our construction is kept slightly more general than QCD, in that we treat $SU(N)$ Yang–Mills theory with fundamental matter, with QCD being the $N = 3$ case.

The gauge-invariant Lagrangian for massless PV gluons and mass-degenerate PV quarks is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_k r_k F_{ak}^{\mu\nu} F_{ak\mu\nu} + \sum_i s_i \bar{\psi}_i (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi_i + g\sum_{ijk} \beta_i \beta_j \xi_k \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu T_a A_{ak\mu} \psi_j. \tag{7}$$

The quark spinor field ψ_i is a column vector with respect to color. The quarks (of a single flavor) are all of mass

m. The gluon field tensor $F_{ak}^{\mu\nu}$ is computed from the field as

$$F_{ak}^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A_{ak}^\nu - \partial^\nu A_{ak}^\mu - r_k \xi_k g f_{abc} \sum_{lm} \xi_l \xi_m A_{bl}^\mu A_{cm}^\nu. \tag{8}$$

The structure constants f_{abc} specify the commutation relation $[T_a, T_b] = i f_{abc} T_c$.

The gauge transformations of the fields are

$$A_{ak}^\mu \longrightarrow A_{ak}^\mu + \partial^\mu \Lambda_{ak} + r_k \xi_k g f_{abc} \Lambda_b A_c^\mu, \tag{9}$$

$$\psi_i \longrightarrow \psi_i + i g s_i \beta_i T_a \Lambda_a \psi, \tag{10}$$

with $\Lambda_a \equiv \sum_k \xi_k \Lambda_{ak}$. The null combination ψ is then gauge invariant, and the null combination A_a^μ is Abelian

$$A_a^\mu \longrightarrow A_a^\mu + \partial^\mu \Lambda_a, \tag{11}$$

as is the associated field tensor

$$F_a^{\mu\nu} = \sum_k \xi_k F_{ak}^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A_a^\nu - \partial^\nu A_a^\mu. \tag{12}$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ is gauge-invariant with respect to these transformations.

When expressed in terms of the null combinations, the Lagrangian reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = &-\frac{1}{4} \sum_k r_k (\partial^\mu A_{ak}^\nu - \partial^\nu A_{ak}^\mu)^2 + g f_{abc} \partial^\mu A_a^\nu A_{b\mu} A_{c\nu} \\ &+ \sum_i s_i \bar{\psi}_i (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi_i + g \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu T_a A_{a\mu} \psi. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

All interactions are between null combinations, and the free part of the Lagrangian corresponds to terms for massless gluon fields with metric signatures r_k and degenerate-mass quark fields with signatures s_i. The four-gluon interaction that normally appears in the QCD Lagrangian has disappeared, as a result of cancellations between physical and PV gluons. It is restored between physical gluons in the infinite-mass limit for PV gluons as a contraction of two three-gluon vertices, with the contraction being a PV gluon. This provides a mechanism, natural in the context of the present Lagrangian, for the often-used trick of introducing an auxiliary field to reduce the four-gluon interaction to two three-gluon interactions for the convenience of color factors in perturbation theory [20].

To give mass to the PV gluons, we use a non-Abelian extension of the Stueckelberg mechanism [17, 18]. Real adjoint scalar fields ϕ_{ak} are introduced with a gauge transformation of

$$\phi_{ak} \longrightarrow \phi_{ak} + \mu_k \Lambda a k + \mu_k r_k \xi_k g f_{abc} \int^x dx'_\mu \Lambda_b(x') A_c^\mu(x'). \tag{14}$$

The line integral is present in order that the gauge transformation of the derivative be

$$\partial^\mu \phi_{ak} \longrightarrow \partial^\mu \phi_{ak} + \mu_k \partial^\mu \Lambda a k + \mu_k r_k \xi_k g f_{abc} \Lambda_b A_c^\mu. \tag{15}$$

This then makes the combination $\mu_k A_{ak}^\mu - \partial^\mu \phi_{ak}$ gauge invariant, which is used in the construction of the first term of an additional piece for the Lagrangian, written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_g = &\frac{1}{2} \sum_k r_k \left(\mu_k A_{ak}^\mu - \partial^\mu \phi_{ak}\right)^2 \\ &-\frac{\zeta}{2} \sum_k r_k \left(\partial_\mu A_{ak}^\mu + \frac{\mu_k}{\zeta} \phi_{ak}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The second term is the gauge fixing term. However, when the two terms are combined, the cross terms sum to a total divergence which can be neglected, leaving

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_g = &\frac{1}{2} \sum_k r_k \mu_k^2 \left(A_{ak}^\mu\right)^2 - \frac{\zeta}{2} \sum_k r_k \left(\partial_\mu A_{ak}^\mu\right)^2 \\ &+\frac{1}{2} \sum_k r_k \left[(\partial_\mu \phi_{ak})^2 - \frac{\mu_k^2}{\zeta} \phi_{ak}^2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This is immediately seen to provide a mass μ_k and standard gauge-fixing term for each gluon field, with gauge parameter ζ, and a free Lagrangian for real scalars with masses $\mu_k/\sqrt{\zeta}$ and metric signatures r_k. For the physical gluon, the mass μ_0 is to be taken to zero. For pure Yang–Mills theory, this can be done explicitly, by exclusion of the scalar fields ϕ_{a0} and replacement of the $k = 0$ terms in $\mathcal{L}_g$ by a simple gauge-fixing term for the physical gluons: $-\frac{\zeta}{2}\left(\partial_\mu A_{a0}^\mu\right)^2$. When quarks are included, the $k = 0$ scalar is needed, and the mass μ_0 must be taken to zero as a limit; however, as will be seen, the scalar ϕ_{a0} does not couple to the physical fields for any value of μ_0.

The mass degeneracy of the PV quarks can be removed by coupling the quarks to a null combination of the scalars ϕ_{ak},

$$\widetilde{\phi}_a \equiv \sum_k \xi_k \frac{\mu_{\rm PV}}{\mu_k} \phi_{ak}, \tag{18}$$

made null by the additional constraint

$$\sum_k r_k \frac{\xi_k^2}{\mu_k^2} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Here $\mu_{\rm PV} \equiv \max_k \mu_k$ is the mass scale of the PV gluons. The gauge transformation of the combination is Abelian

$$\widetilde{\phi}_a \longrightarrow \widetilde{\phi}_a + \mu_{\rm PV} \Lambda_a \tag{20}$$

and provides the necessary piece to make gauge-invariant the Lagrangian term

$$\mathcal{L}_q = -\sum_i s_i m_i (\bar{\psi}_i + i g \frac{s_i \beta_i}{\mu_{\rm PV}} \widetilde{\phi}_a \bar{\psi} T_a)(\psi_i - i g \frac{s_i \beta_i}{\mu_{\rm PV}} \widetilde{\phi}_a T_a \psi), \tag{21}$$

which is to replace the quark-mass term $-\sum_i s_i m \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i$ in (13). Thus, each PV quark can have a different mass m_i, with m_0 the mass of the physical quark.

This new term can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_q = -\sum_i s_i m_i \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i - ig\frac{m_{\rm PV}}{\mu_{\rm PV}}\left[\bar{\psi}T_a\widetilde{\phi}_a\widetilde{\psi} - \bar{\widetilde{\psi}}T_a\widetilde{\phi}_a\psi\right] - \sum_i s_i\frac{m_i\beta_i^2}{\mu_{\rm PV}^2}\bar{\psi}T_a\widetilde{\phi}_a T_b\widetilde{\phi}_b\psi, \tag{22}$$

where we define a PV-quark mass scale $m_{\rm PV} \equiv \max_i m_i$ and a new null combination of quark fields

$$\widetilde{\psi} = \sum_i \beta_i\frac{m_i}{m_{\rm PV}}\psi_i. \tag{23}$$

For this to be null, there is the additional constraint

$$\sum_i s_i m_i^2\beta_i^2 = 0, \tag{24}$$

and for $\widetilde{\psi}$ and ψ to be mutually null, we must have

$$\sum_i s_i m_i \beta_i^2 = 0. \tag{25}$$

This last constraint has the advantage of making the last term in (22) simply zero. Also, the second and third terms combined are proportional to $\sum_{ij}\beta_i\beta_j(m_j - m_i)\bar{\psi}_i T_a\widetilde{\phi}_a\psi_j$, which is zero for $i = j$ and guarantees that none of the scalars are directly coupled to the physical quarks, as claimed above. There are now three constraints on the PV quark masses and coupling coefficients, which requires three PV quarks if all masses m_i are to be chosen independently.

The last step of the construction is the Faddeev–Popov ghost term, which can be done by the usual methods of path-integral quantization [21]. We obtain, for ghosts c_{ak} and anti-ghosts $\bar{c}_{ak}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FP} = \sum_k r_k\partial_\mu\bar{c}_{ak}\partial^\mu c_{ak} - \sum_k r_k\frac{\mu_k^2}{\zeta}\bar{c}_{ak}c_{ak} + gf_{abc}\left[\partial_\mu\bar{c}_a c_b A_c^\mu - \frac{\mu_{\rm PV}^2}{\zeta}\bar{\widetilde{c}}_a\int^x dx'_\mu c_b(x')A_c^\mu(x')\right], \tag{26}$$

with the null combinations defined as

$$c_a \equiv \sum_k \xi_k c_{ak}, \quad \bar{c}_a \equiv \sum_k \xi_k\bar{c}_{ak}, \quad \bar{\widetilde{c}}_a \equiv \sum_k \xi_k\frac{\mu_k^2}{\mu_{\rm PV}^2}\bar{c}_{ak}. \tag{27}$$

For these to be (mutually) null, we require

$$\sum_k r_k\mu_k^2\xi_k^2 = 0, \quad \sum_k r_k\mu_k^4\xi_k^2 = 0. \tag{28}$$

There are now four constraints on the PV-gluon masses μ_k and coupling coefficients ξ_k: the two above plus (6) and (19). If all the PV-gluon masses are to be chosen independently, this would require four PV gluons; for constrained mass values, the number of PV gluons can be less. The number of PV ghosts is the same as the number of PV gluons; the number of PV scalars is one more, except for pure Yang–Mills theory, where ϕ_{a0} is not needed. Because $\mu_0 \ll \mu_{\rm PV}$, there could be difficulty with significant figures in numerical solutions of these constraints. However, calculations in QED [8] have indicated that the $\mu_0/\mu_{\rm PV}$ ratio need not be extremely small, so that this potential difficulty may be mitigated.

This completes the construction. The full expression for the PV-regulated QCD Lagrangian can be obtained by adding $\mathcal{L}_g$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm FP}$, found in (17) and (26), to $\mathcal{L}$ in (13), and replacing the quark mass term in $\mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{L}_q$, found in (22).

The associated BRST transformations are determined from the gauge transformations with the replacement $\Lambda_{ak} \to \epsilon c_{ak}$, where ϵ is a real Grassmann constant for which $\epsilon^2 = 0$. The transformations are

$$\delta A_{ak}^\mu = \epsilon\partial^\mu c_{ak} + \epsilon r_k\xi_k g f_{abc}c_b A_c^\mu, \tag{29}$$

$$\delta\psi_i = i\epsilon g s_i\beta_i T_a c_a\psi, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}_i = -i\epsilon g s_i\beta_i\bar{\psi}T_a c_a, \tag{30}$$

$$\delta\phi_{ak} = \epsilon\mu_k c_{ak} + \epsilon r_k\xi_k\mu_k g f_{abc}\int^x dx'_\mu c_b(x')A_c^\mu(x'), \tag{31}$$

$$\delta\partial^\mu\phi_{ak} = \epsilon\mu_k\partial^\mu c_{ak} + \epsilon r_k\xi_k\mu_k g f_{abc}c_b A_c^\mu, \tag{32}$$

$$\delta\bar{c}_{ak} = -\zeta\epsilon\left(\partial_\mu A_{ak}^\mu + \frac{\mu_k}{\zeta}\phi_{ak}\right), \tag{33}$$

$$\delta c_{ak} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon r_k\xi_k g f_{abc}c_b c_c. \tag{34}$$

For the various null combinations we then find

$$\delta A_a^\mu = \epsilon\partial^\mu c_a, \quad \delta\widetilde{\phi}_a = \epsilon\mu_{\rm PV}c_a, \quad \delta c_a = 0, \tag{35}$$

$$\delta\psi = 0, \quad \delta\widetilde{\psi} = 0. \tag{36}$$

All of the gauge-invariant pieces of the Lagrangian are then automatically BRST invariant, and we need only check the sum of the second term of $\mathcal{L}_g$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm FP}$, in (16) and (26) respectively. A short calculation shows that this sum, and therefore the entire Lagrangian, is also BRST invariant.

However, what we have done is only a formal construction. A next step is to construct the corresponding light-front Fock-space Hamiltonian and then to solve for its eigenstates. This will be facilitated by another aspect of null couplings, in that the projections [4] $\psi_- \equiv \frac{1}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^-\psi$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_- \equiv \frac{1}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^-\widetilde{\psi}$ of the Dirac field are gauge invariant and satisfy constraint equations, projected from the Dirac equation, that are independent of the gluon fields. This allows for explicit solution of the constraint without invocation of light-cone gauge [6]. There will also be cancellation of instantaneous fermion interactions, just as seen in Yukawa theory [12] and QED [6]. This should be a promising avenue of attack on QCD.

At the stage of solving the Fock-space eigenvalue problems, there is a potential source of gauge dependence, in that most methods employ Fock-space truncation to produce a finite computational problem. A method that avoids such a truncation, the light-front coupled-cluster method [22], has been developed and should be applicable to QCD. Rather than truncate Fock space, a finite computational problem is obtained by truncation of the way in which higher Fock-state wave functions are related to the lower ones.

[1] C. Gattringer and C.B. Lang, *Quantum Chromodynamics on the Lattice*, (Springer, Berlin, 2010); H. Rothe, *Lattice Gauge Theories: An Introduction*, 4e, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2012).

[2] I. C. Cloet and C. D. Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **77**, 1 (2014).

[3] M. Hogervorst, S. Rychkov and B. C. van Rees, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 025005 (2015).

[4] S.J. Brodsky, H.-C. Pauli, and S.S. Pinsky, Phys. Rep. **301**, 299 (1998); J. Carbonell, B. Desplanques, V.A. Karmanov, and J.F. Mathiot, Phys. Rep. **300**, 215 (1998); G. A. Miller, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 83 (2000); M. Burkardt, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **23**, 1 (2002); B. L. G. Bakker *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **251-252**, 165 (2014).

[5] S. D. Glazek, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 125018 (2012); M. Gomez-Rocha and S. D. Glazek, arXiv:1505.06688 [hep-ph].

[6] S.J. Brodsky, V.A. Franke, J.R. Hiller, G. McCartor, S.A. Paston, and E.V. Prokhvatilov, Nucl. Phys. B **703**, 333 (2004); S.S. Chabysheva and J.R. Hiller, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074030 (2010); Phys. Rev. D **82**, 034004 (2010).

[7] J.P. Vary *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 035205 (2010); H. Honkanen, P. Maris, J.P. Vary, and S.J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 061603 (2011); X. Zhao, H. Honkanen, P. Maris, J.P. Vary and S.J. Brodsky, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 65 (2014).

[8] S.S. Chabysheva and J.R. Hiller, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 034001 (2011).

[9] K. Hornbostel, S. J. Brodsky and H. C. Pauli, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 3814 (1990).

[10] Y. Matsumura, N. Sakai, and T. Sakai, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2446 (1995); O. Lunin and S. Pinsky, AIP Conf. Proc. **494**, 140 (1999); J.R. Hiller, S.S. Pinsky, Y. Proestos, N. Salwen, and U. Trittmann, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 045008 (2007) and references therein.

[11] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B **44**, 189 (1972); C.G. Bollini and J.J. Giambiagi, Phys. Lett. B **40**, 566 (1972); J.F. Ashmore, Nuovo Cim. Lett. **4**, 289 (1972).

[12] S.J. Brodsky, J.R. Hiller, and G. McCartor, Ann. Phys. **305**, 266 (2003); **321**, 1240 (2006).

[13] V.A. Karmanov, J.-F. Mathiot, and A.V. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 085028 (2008); Phys. Rev. D **82**, 056010 (2010).

[14] S.A. Paston and V.A. Franke, Theor. Math. Phys. **112**, 1117 (1997) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **112**, 399 (1997)]; S.A. Paston, V.A. Franke, and E.V. Prokhvatilov, Theor. Math. Phys. **120**, 1164 (1999) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **120**, 417 (1999)]; M. Y. Malyshev, S. A. Paston, E. V. Prokhvatilov, R. A. Zubov and V. A. Franke, arXiv:1504.07951 [hep-th]; arXiv:1505.00272 [hep-th].

[15] P.T. Matthews, Phys. Rev. **76**, 1254 (1949); A. Salam, Nucl. Phys. **18**, 681 (1960; S. Kamefuchi, Nucl. Phys. **18**, 691 (1960).

[16] C. Becchi, A. Rouet, and R. Stora, Phys. Lett. B **52**, 344 (1974); Commun. Math. Phys. **42**, 127 (1975); Ann. Phys. **98**, 287 (1976); I.V. Tyutin, Lebedev preprint FIAN No. 39, 1975, unpublished.

[17] E. C. G. Stueckelberg, Helv. Phys. Acta **11**, 225-244 (1938); **11**, 299-312 (1938); **11**, 312-328 (1938).

[18] R. Marnelius and I. S. Sogami, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 3101 (1998).

[19] L.D. Faddeev and V.N. Popov, Phys. Lett. B **25**, 29 (1967).

[20] A. Grozin, *Lectures on QED and QCD*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2007).

[21] D. Bailin and A. Love, *Introduction to Gauge Field Theory*, revised ed., (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 1993).

[22] S.S. Chabysheva and J.R. Hiller, Phys. Lett. B **711**, 417 (2012).